

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,904	03/22/2000	MARIE-PASCALE AUDOUSSET	05725.0545	7764
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER			EINSMANN, MARGARET V	
1300 I STREET			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			175)	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/485,904	AUDOUSSET, MARIE-PASCALE					
riavioory riodon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Margaret Einsmann	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply a set later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action: or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 4/11/03. Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
_ ` ` `							
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	,	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	eta III do . et	1.6				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT	place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 16-40							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by th						
9.区 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) . <u>4</u> /4/2 のひこ							
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
		Margarite	nama-				
		Margaret Einsmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 10. Other: An affidavit presented after notice of appeal is not timely and acordingly will not be considered. .